Recent Advances in Technology and Engineering (RATE-2017)
6th National Conference by TJIT, Bangalore

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology
An Open Access Journal

Improving capacities of Fault Tolerance in Virtual Machine in Cloud

[1]Shameer Basha K. R, [2]Menaka. A

Abstract

Cloud Computing is a kind of computing where by applying models of different kinds we give services over the internet. FT Provides a colossal support to guarantee reliability and dependability of large services in administering and executing applications. Here we are proposing model to study how the frameworks endures the issues and makes a conclusion on the unwavering quality of the hubs that are being prepared i.e. VM (Virtual Machine) . In the event that a VM prompts an outcome inside a given time, its dependability consequently increments and on the off chance that it doesn't meet the desire inside the stipulated time the unwavering quality declines. In the event that the VM perseveringly fizzles, it will be isolated and another VM will be associated. There is additionally a most reduced unwavering quality level. On the off chance that any VM does not achieve the desires, the framework will direct in reverse recuperation or insurance. The proposed methods is built up on planning different variations on numerous VM, and doling out dependability to the conclusion given by the variations. The cases of a VM can be of comparable or non-indistinguishable sorts. The framework gives both the forward and in reverse system yet the principle accentuation we have is on Forward Recovery.

Keywords—Cloud; formatting, FT (fault tolerance), VM (virtual Machine); Reliability

Introduction

Distributed computing apportions the assets like systems administration, stockpiles and physical stockpiles. They give many administrations through their cloud specialist organizations. The popular one's at present managing the market are Amazon, windows Azure, Google and numerous more, every specialist co-op has his own interesting administrations to offer contingent upon the need of the clients or customers. We can see that Google the mammoth gives all accessible cloud administrations like PaaS, IaaS and Saas. The distributed computing is built on the disseminated thought and it exceptionally accommodating for every one of the clients. This paper concentrates on the exploration done of adaptation to non-critical failure in the cloud. In a cloud domain, there are bunches of covered up or disguised hubs known as VM (virtual machine).VM is viably a program that is introduced to run basically not physically. In a server, it is a handling machine. In the cloud territory, the information of a client is imitated in various VM's. The client request is sent to all open VM's.

On the off chance that a given hub or a VM bombs, then it won't answer and all the open VM will answer to the request that has been made by the client. The adaptation to internal failure weighed ought to recognize the best dependable VM's among the part and answer to the client request. This paper is utilized to discover or find the dependable VM's in the cloud region.

Faults tolerance and its encounters

Researching Ft(Fault Tolerance)

FT (Fault Tolerance) is a colossal worry for ensuring accessibility and unwavering quality of imperative administrations and furthermore execution of utilizations. FT (Fault Tolerance) is a profitable intends to accomplish unwavering quality. It likewise implies that the PC ought to be up and running notwithstanding when it may be subjected to blame nearness.

Recent Advances in Technology and Engineering , Department of Computer Science and Engineering, T John Institute of Technology, Bangalore, Published By: International Journal of Sciecne, Engineering and Technology, ISSN (O): 2348-4098 , ISSN (P): 2395-4752

Faults Encountered

There are various flaws that have been experienced. Couple of strategies/approaches have been created and proposed to address this issue

Fault Tolerance Reaction [7] : Responsive FT or Fault resistance response strategies brings down the outcomes confronted by the deficiencies amid execution of use when the flaws are happening powerfully. Numerous systems that give arrangement like Retry, Replay and so on .

Predetermining FT [7] : Predetermining FT is to totally maintain a strategic distance from a recuperation a long time before the deficiencies can even happen by envisioning them and supplant the reasoned parts by utilitarian segments. The numerous arrangements are Migrating preventive, S/W Rejuvenation and so forth.

Related Work

Ponders have been done in this field of cloud foundation with respect to adaptation to non-critical failure. Yet, there are numerous things that are yet to be found in adaptation to non-critical failure of VM based cloud framework.

New cases have been introduced related to fault tolerance.

A. WENBING ZHAO et al. (2010) exhibited another thought Low Latency Fault Tolerance (LLFT) that takes after pioneer take after approach in the cloud condition for the dispersed applications by giving adaptation to non-critical failure.

B. ANJU BALA et al. (2014) presented a thought to outline a brilliant blame discoveries by foreordaining the undertaking disappointments.

Shivam nagpal et al (2013) proposed a ft demonstrate thattakes choices. Normally the unwavering quality of a hub is gaged at on 2 things : time and exactness. In the event that both of it comes up short at certain given level then the framework is booked to perform in reverse recuperation

PROPOSED WORK

Our proposed model is framed on enhancing FT (Fault Tolerance) and evaluating the dependability of VM (Virtual Machine) in cloud based conditions. This technique utilizes two sorts of hubs. One is VM set and settling hub, for example, fundamental hub. The VM applies acknowledgment test for the sensible legitimacy. Time checker, choice component and dependability assessor calculation are utilized by the adjudicator hub to distinguish the solid VM. The dependable VM is recognized and used to prepare the customer ask. The information of the VM is in a packed express that the customer can acknowledge. A VM is decided for calculation on introduce of slightest/least and Maximum unwavering quality. The most extreme unwavering quality created by a hub is resolved as the framework occasion yield. Adaptation to non-critical failure can be given by putting away the information on various cloud utilizing the virtualization methods

Acceptance Test (AT) [2]: This module examines if there is a shot of blame happening. Have disappointment is the blame. The AT recognizes both disappointment and achievement of the VM. The fizzled VM is not mulled over. On the off chance that the information inside the VM gets tainted it won't influence the VM so this VM is looked into by the TC. The outcome is just passed to the TC module when it is effective. The AT sends a check flag to TC to show the disappointment.

Time Checker (TC) [2]: It is evaluated in milliseconds. It is given by upper and lower bound farthest point. The reaction time and checking time is given in milliseconds. Amid disappointment TC module executes recuperation.

Reliability Assessor (RA) [2]: The surveying of unwavering quality for every module is seen here. Through primary center module the unwavering quality is found for the proposed framework, for the change of dependability of VM we have to check which framework endured the issues and takes choice in light of preparing hubs of the solid frameworks. All the VMs are 100% solid toward the start of each processing cycle. In the event that a hub can accomplish the coveted outcome inside a given time then its unwavering quality increments by utilizing RF (dependability Factor) . We can see the diminishing of unwavering quality when the outcome is neglected to be delivered in the given time utilizing flexibility figure m.

Algorithm 1:

Begin

Initially rel:= 1, m:= 1

Input from config RF, maxRel , minRel

Input nodestatus

if nodestatus =Pass then

*rel :=rel+(rel*RF)*

if m> 1then

m:= m-1;

else if nodeStatus =Fail then

*reliability := reliability - (rel*RF*m)*

m:=m+1;

if rel>= maxRel then

rel:= maxRel

if rel<minRel then

nodestatus :=dead

call_proc : remove_this_node

call_proc: add_new_node

DECISION MECHANISM (DM)[1]: Locates the Reliable VM found through asset accessibility and late history.

RECENT HISTORY: It holds the checkpoints. Each registering cycle has checkpoint in it. In reverse recuperation is done when every one of the hubs come up short, this is normally finished with the assistance of checkpoints kept.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY: Memory is considered an asset. Each VM has its own particular memory accessibility.

Algorithm 2:

Begin

Initially rel=1,m=1

Input from RA nodeRel, Numvand nodes

Input from configuration SRL

bestRel1 :=find_reliability of node with highest reliability

bestRel2 :=find_reliability of node with second most reliability

bestRel3:=find_reliability of node with third most reliability

if bestRel1&&bestRel2&&bestRel3>=SRL

status :=success

else

perform_backward_recovery

call_proc: remove_node_minRel

call_proc: add_new_node

End

The underlying worth is given as 1. Versatility variable is taken as m, which charges the flexibility of dependability appraisal. The n esteem ought to dependably be more noteworthy than 0. Contribution of three elements are mulled over minReliability(minimum unwavering quality), maxReliability(maximum dependability) and RF(Reliability figure). The RF is the component that either increments or reductions the hub's dependability. At the point when a hub accomplishes minReliability level, it is stopped from moving any further operations that may happen. maxReliability is in the start of a circumstance the coveted yield is seen in succeeding cycles however falls flat inevitably. Consequently the unwavering quality ought not be more than should be expected required to bring down the dependability. For instance, we have two hubs both have a passing and falling flat score of 5 each in 10 cycles. in any case, the hub, which has more disappointments as of late has high possibility of being less dependable than the other hub. The factors, for example, (minReliability, maxReliability, SRL, RF) rely on upon the application esteem.

Scope of study

According to the examination there is high plausibility to the need of executing unmanned FT. Academicians directed a writing audit and discovered many difficulties that need to overcome in the future. [3]

Chances of mistake event is more as the preparing is done on a remote based PC.

Since cloud condition is versatile, erratic it is hard to translate the changing framework state.

The client has less data on account of the high framework intricacy, thus which makes it hard to arrange an ideal adaptation to non-critical failure result.

The assorted nature of cloud is it greatest disadvantage to confine the flaws. A system should be found to find flaws..

Experimental Setup

We have configured the VM as below

Table-1 : VM CONFIG

PARAMETER	VALUE
Architecture	X86
OS	WINDOWS
VMM	XEN
COST	2.0
COSTPERMEM	0.04
COSTPERSTORAGE	0.001
COSTPERBW	0.0

Table-2: HOST CONFIG

PARAMETER	VALUE
RAM	1000
STORAGE	10000
BW	10000

TABLE-3 : DATACENTER CONFIG

PARAMETER	VALUE
Architecture	X86
OS	WINDOWS
STORAGE COST $/S	0.1
DATA TRANSFER COST $/Gb	0.1
PHYSICAL UNITS NUMBERS	2

Information is being sent to arbitration hub to the VM and results are being gotten. The VM takes 1 millisecond for lower confine and 1.5 for furthest breaking point. All VM execute the calculation in the meantime.

Conclusion and Future Work

Cloud condition is always showing signs of change and versatile because of which unexpected conduct can be seen prompting disappointments and flaws. To enhance the dependability the disappointments ought to be evaluated and dealt with definitively. By proposing this model we are attempting to make our frameworks more fult bearable and we are likewise taking the dynamic part of a cloud domain further bolstering our good fortune. The basic leadership algorith finds the three top most solid frameworks witrh the most noteworthy dependable proportion among numerous. The likeliness of disappointment is little in our work. Because of this forwrd recoveryu happens till the hubs neglect to give the normal result. Because of Decision Mechanism the retrogressive recuperation is performed if the given hubs can't accomplish the coveted System Reliability Level (SRL) .Since checkpointing is made when the coveted outcome is increased through every one of the hubs it doesn't have a domino impact. Because of this procedure the QOS of cloud is incomprehensibly enhanced since it is exceptionally productive as we locate the three top solid frameworks. At the point when directed a test between VM's the main 3 dependable VM had the accompanying yield VM1-0.74 , VM2-0.70, VM3-0.74. The other VM had 0.69,0.64,0.65 and so forth. These numbres were tried against 1 as max dependable result.

We are tackling some improvemental methods that can be associated and to make our structure the base accuse tolerant as possible , In future critical focusing will be done in decision framework .

References

[1] Sambare, A. S., Meshram, A.D., and Zade, S.D (2013). Adaptation to non-critical failure Model For Reliable Cloud Computing.

[2] Rajesh.S and Kanniga Devi, Improving Fault Tolerance in VM Based Cloud Infrastructure. Diary of Innovative Research in Science,Engineering and Technology. ISSN Online(2319-8753) Print(2347-6710)

[3] Zeeshan Amin, Nisha Sethi and Harshpreet Singh, Review on Fault Tolerance Techniques In Cloud Computing. Global Journal Of Computer Applictions (0975 - 8887)

[4] M .J. Rehman, S. Malik, Time Stamped Fault Tolerance inDistributed Systems. IEEE International Multitopic Conference, Karachi, Pakistan.

[5] L. L. Pullum, Software Fault Tolerance and Implementation-Artech House, Boston, London, United Kingdom, 2001

[6] Mohammad Jahangir Alam, Galam Moktader Nayeem - Analysis of different Software Fault Tolerane Techniques, 2006

[7] Fault Toelrance-Challenges, Techniques and Implementation in Cloud Computing - Anju Bala, Inderveer Chana.

[8] D. Caromel, C. Delbe, A. D. Costanzo, M. Leyton, ProActive: An Integrated Platform for Programming and Running Applications on Grids and P2P Systems, Computational Methods in Science and Technology 12(1), pp 69-77, 2006

[9] K. H. Kim, Towards Integration of Major Design Techniques for Real-Time Fault-Tolerant Computer System, Society for Design and Process Science, USA, 2002

[10] O. S. Unsal, I. Koren, M. Krishna, Towards Energy-Aware Software Based Fault Tolerance in Real Time Systems ISLPED '02, Monterey, California, USA, August 12-14, 2002

[11] Tchana Alain et. al. Blame Tolerant Approaches in Cloud Computing Infrastructures. The Eight International Conference on Autonomic and Autonomous Systems (2012): 42-48.

[12] M.Armbrust, A.Fox, R. Griffit,et al., A perspective of cloud computing , Communications of the ACM, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 50–58, 2010.

[13] Webbing Zhao et. al. Fault Tolerance Middleware for cloud computing.Third International Conference on Cloud Computing (2010): 67-74.

[14] Gang Chen, Hai Jin, Deqing Zou, Bing Zhou, Weizhong Qiang, Gang Hu, SHelp: Automatic Selfhealing for Multiple Application Instances in a Virtual Machine Environment , IEEE International Conferenceon Cluster Computing, 2010

[15] L. M. Vaquero, L. Rodero-Merino, J. Caceres and M. Lindner, A soften up the mists: towards a cloud definition, SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review,vol. 39, pp. 50–55, December 2008.

Author's details

[1]Shameer Basha K.R pursuing MCA in storage and cloud technology at Jain University. Worked in Accenture and Big city Promotions. Completed BCA from Seshadripuram First Grade College

[2]Ms. A. Menaka received B.Sc Industrial Electronics from Bharathidasan University, 2002, MCA (Master of Computer Applications from Avinashilingam Deemed University, 2005, and M.Phil Computer Science in Bharathiar University, 2014. She is MCP, MCSE, MCITP and MCT certified and has 5 years of experience in training field towards Microsoft certifications. She has 6+ years of teaching experience in academics and published varies research papers in field of networking. Her main area of interest is Networking, Cloud computing and infrastructure management.